



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,362	08/23/2006	Pierre Vidalinc	BJS-960-50	6744
23117 7590 06/19/2009 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203				
EXAMINER				
THERKORN, ERNEST G				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1797				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/19/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/590,362

Applicant(s)

VIDALINC, PIERRE

Examiner

Ernest G. Therborn

Art Unit

1797

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 May 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/US)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676). The claims read on Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676). However, if a difference exists between the claims and Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676), it would reside in optimizing the elements of Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676). It would be obvious to optimize the elements of Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676) to enhance separation.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676) in view of Hanmer (U.S. Patent No. 5,788,127) and Marmon (U.S. Patent No. 4,437,487). At best, the claims differ from Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676) in reciting the particular valve. Hanmer (U.S. Patent No. 5,788,127) (Abstract and column 1, lines 3-15) discloses that use of a valve with a tapered outlet passage allows accurate dispensing. Marmon (U.S. Patent No. 4,437,487) (Abstract) discloses openings in the valve housing proximate to the periphery of the unseated valve for the obvious purpose of allowing unimpeded

dispensing of fluid. It would have been obvious to use the recited valve in Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676) because Hanmer (U.S. Patent No. 5,788,127) (Abstract and column 1, lines 3-15) discloses that use of a valve with a tapered outlet passage allows accurate dispensing and Marmon (U.S. Patent No. 4,437,487) (Abstract) discloses openings in the valve housing proximate to the periphery of the unseated valve for the obvious purpose of allowing unimpeded dispensing of fluid.

The remarks urge patentability based upon the allegation that Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676) does not disclose the process limitation of loading the column with a dry resin. However, the apparatus claims read on structures that do not actually perform the process step.

The remarks urge patentability based upon the allegation that Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676) does not disclose the process limitation of using a pump. However, the apparatus claims read on structures that do not actually perform the process step.

The remarks urge patentability based upon the allegation that Alaska (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,676) does not disclose the process limitation of using a particle size at least 10,000 times smaller than the inlet. However, the apparatus claims read on structures that do not actually perform the process step.

The remarks urge that neither Hanmer (U.S. Patent No. 5,788,127) nor Marmon (U.S. Patent No. 4,437,487) alone discloses the particular valve. However, Hanmer (U.S. Patent No. 5,788,127) (Abstract and column 1, lines 3-15) discloses that use of a valve with a tapered outlet passage allows accurate dispensing. Marmon (U.S. Patent

No. 4,437,487) (Abstract) discloses openings in the valve housing proximate to the periphery of the unseated valve for the obvious purpose of allowing unimpeded dispensing of fluid. It would have been obvious to use openings in the valve housing proximate to the periphery of the unseated valve in Hanmer (U.S. Patent No. 5,788,127) because Marmon (U.S. Patent No. 4,437,487) (Abstract) discloses openings in the valve housing proximate to the periphery of the unseated valve for the obvious purpose of allowing unimpeded dispensing of fluid.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Therkorn at telephone number (571) 272-1149. The official fax number is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 1797

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Ernest G. Therkorn/
Ernest G. Therkorn
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1797

EGT
June 17, 2009